



August 2, 2022 * RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

**CITY OF PACIFIC
REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN
300 HOVEN
PACIFIC, MISSOURI 63069**

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Mayor Filley. The meeting was held at City Hall. The Public was able to attend in person and was streamed live on the City of Pacific YouTube channel. Mayor Filley welcomed everyone to the meeting.

A roll call was taken with the following results:

Present at Roll Call: Alderman Nemeth
 Alderman Cleeve
 Alderman Gendron
 Alderman Presley
 Alderman Eversmeyer
 Alderman Lesh

Also present: Administrator Roth Attorney Jones
 Chief Melies Community Development Director Myers
 Collector Kelley Public Works Commissioner Brueggemann

Pledge of Allegiance

The Pledge of Allegiance was given.

Prayer

Reverend Michael Gardner, Ministerial Alliance, offered prayer this evening.

Approve Agenda

Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Nemeth to approve the agenda. Mayor Filley also asked for any discussion. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none, Abstain: none. Therefore; Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.

Minutes

A. Regular meeting on July 19, 2022

Motion made by Alderman Eversmeyer, seconded by Alderman Presley to approve the minutes of the Board of Aldermen 8-2-2022

regular meeting on July 19, 2022. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. **A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Therefore; Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.**

Public Participation

Mayor Filley stated there were four speaker cards tonight and reminded them to give their name and address for the record. Each speaker will be given five minutes.

Larry Wallace, 1682 Westlake Court, Pacific, MO questioned that two weeks ago, when the industrial subdivision was tabled, the drainage study came up and traffic study. What is the status of those today? Are they done? Also, what is the status of the preliminary plans. Behind his house, and going three additional lots to the east, the plans right now show a detention basin. Currently, on the east property line on the lot next to him, there is a drainage line. The intersection picks up water from the proposed industrial subdivision. He is looking for copies of those plans, because how will that affect that basin. What if this overflows? We have all seen what happened with the rain in the St. Louis area, and he doesn't want this to happen to his house. Right now the semi-trucks come through First St. and Fourth St. This will easily double the traffic. Can the roads handle this? He is assuming Cochran is doing the traffic study? Administrator Roth stated the plan you are referring to was provided by the developer to the city after the initial hearing before Planning & Zoning. That plan is not subject to any board action. It was a concept plan, or sketch plan, but has no effect, it is one idea they proposed. Since the last meeting myself and Mayor made certain suggestions to the developer. We told them to send us a modified sketch plan that shows some features; such as the forest area in the northwest corner of the property preserved, more buffers against the north property line, and suggested the detention basins be relocated against the north property line as a way to provide further buffers. The developer to date has not provided any sort of plan. He spoke to Mr. Holly, who is part of the real estate firm, and was told that Mr. Conway is on vacation and returns on Monday. They intend to submit a revised plan, and present to the public at the next August 16th meeting. It is very important for everyone to understand; the action that is subject to Bill No. 5150 is a rezoning. It has nothing to do with a subdivision plan or development plans. If the rezoning is approved, and the development moved forward there would be further submittals, such as development plans and subdivision plats. All of these are subject to public hearings, notifications, Planning & Zoning review and then to the Board of Aldermen. The rezoning action, we would make sure to not bind us to any plans other than what is adopted by ordinance. Attorney Jones stated the drainage plan and traffic study, all is not a requirement for the process of rezoning. The developer has given this a concept plan and we encourage them to provide a traffic study in advance. At this stage, it is not necessary. Administrator Roth stated after the last meeting he spoke with a traffic engineering firm; we spoke of two things, truck traffic throughout the community especially as it relates to Candlewick and Industrial Drive, and discussion about the impact of this development. This is typically done at the subdivision development stage. Through review, if the city determines that the developer should provide that then they would be required. We don't have any fee proposals tonight. In our conversation with the traffic engineer obtaining the data of how many trucks we have on Candlewick and Hwy N was discussed. At minimum this lets us know what is happening in these areas.

Mary Dorsey, 1708 Westlake Court, Pacific, MO stated she moved here to retire, in a previous life she was a research nurse and she researched the traffic study. She learned that residents keep raising the concern of impacts this proposed industrial may have. One of the impacts is water, and traffic. She read from Section 400.140 of the Zoning Code. The Board has a duty as elected officials to manage the

zoning and development process that reasonable protects the interest of the surrounding property owners. One aspect is traffic generated by the development and how it impacts the surrounding area. A study is necessary to do this. She called upon the board to either retain a competent engineering firm or require the owner to do so with approval of this Board to perform this. Only with this information can this Board determine if the proposed development should be approved. The question is always what is going in here, and we don't know. Without knowing the type of light industrial use, how can the Board make a decision.

Collin Kennedy, 1716 Westlake Court, Pacific MO, thanked the Board for tabling the zoning bill, along with everyone else who has spoke in opposing this. Administrator Roth speaks to just the rezoning. We opposed this because we feel like once it is rezoned anything can happen. Water is his biggest fear. He is concerned about the retention ponds. He asked that they ask themselves, what is the worst thing that can happen if we don't rezone this property, and then the same question, what's the worst that can happen if we do.

Gary Meadows, 2010 Hwy N, Pacific, MO stated the Board tabled the action on Bill No. 5150, and he thought it should have been because of the number of pending issues relating to traffic and water, and the suggested Economic Impact Study. The Land Use Plan refers to the city trying to attract high paying salary job, but he has no idea what kind of jobs Mr. Conway believes this will bring. The city is going to be out resources such as police, fire and utilities to cover this land, and who is responsible for the detention basins if they go in? He reviewed Mr. Roth's report to the board, and he thought that some things were interesting, such as, how Mr. Conway did not speak to the Board last time, which he thought was imprudent because it is their application. In the last two weeks he has done nothing further, which he believes speaks volumes on what to expect from them as they continue forward. The city seems to be pursuing Mr. Conway about what he is going to do, which seems strange to him because shouldn't the applicant be doing it themselves. The result of zoning seems preordained to him. He wonders if it is because of the Land Use Plan, which he has read. Some things noted, it's not set in stone, some of the commentary speaks to flexible to development because of circumstances, industrial development should be directed to vacant sites already zoned industrial, to not interfere with residential living, along with the importance of preserving farm land, which is much more preferable. There is a colored land use plan included, but nothing talks about the gray pencil to the south of pacific and said this is the industrial section. There is no discussion of this in it at all. What is discussed is the people that were surveyed wanted retail development and businesses that cause people to stay downtown and eat downtown and bring money in. Nothing about industrial development. Why is this gray area all scarified to industrial use? He is looking for an explanation to this. The citizens have spoken and nobody is in favor of this and nobody is in favor of it except the applicant. It should be rejected, and if you really want to go forward, the idea that this can be taken care of on the backend is a bit naive. The developers have a way of not subdividing or doing things that fall within zoning that don't require public hearings. This is the time to look at it hard and determine what needs to happen. He also suggested as things are presented to the city they are published before the board meeting so that everyone can study it. As it is now, we may or may not know what is happening. It's not a good interactive exchange. The Land Use Plan is not a magic document, and this should not go forward. If you move forward, it must be under the strictest of circumstances.

New Bills

There were no new bills this evening.

Consideration of Bills Previously Introduced

Bill No. 5153 An Ordinance revising the terms of the members of the Meramec Valley Historical Society in the City of Pacific. (2nd reading) Sponsor: Nemeth

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Bill No. 5153 by title only for the second reading. **Motion made by Alderman Nemeth, seconded by Alderman Eversmeyer to approve Bill No. 5153.** Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. **A roll call vote was taken with the following results: Ayes: Alderman Nemeth, Alderman Cleeve, Alderman Gendron, Alderman Presley, Alderman Eversmeyer, Alderman Lesh. nays: none, abstain: none. Whereupon; Mayor Filley declared Bill No. 5153 passed 6-0 and becomes Ordinance No. 3335.**

Bill No. 5154 An Ordinance revising the terms of the Park Board in the City of Pacific. (2nd reading) Sponsor: Cleeve

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Bill No. 5154 by title only for the second reading. **Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Nemeth to approve Bill No. 5154** Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. **A roll call vote was taken with the following results: Ayes: Alderman Cleeve, Alderman Gendron, Alderman Presley, Alderman Eversmeyer, Alderman Lesh, Alderman Nemeth. nays: none, abstain: none. Whereupon; Mayor Filley declared Bill No. 5154 passed 6-0 and becomes Ordinance No. 3336.**

Unfinished Business

Bill No. 5150 an Ordinance approving a Zoning Change (Map Amendment) from NU Non-Urban to M-1 Light Industrial for two tracts of land totaling approximately 48 acres and being generally described as Lot 1, Alt Place, Franklin County Parcel ID 19-6-14.0-0-034-036.200 (8-acre tract) and Franklin County Parcel ID 19-6-14.0-0-099-035.100 (40-acre tract) being generally located at Industrial Drive, Pacific, Missouri (2nd reading) Sponsor: Eversmeyer. Tabled 7-19-22

Mayor Filley stated Bill No. 5150 is under Unfinished Business. Attorney Jones stated it remains on the table unless there is a motion to remove it from the table.

Mayor Filley asked for any further discussion. Alderman Cleeve stated this was primarily to the people raising concerns. He knows when you attend these meetings, we are relatively quiet. Don't mistake that for inaction and not caring. From his perspective, anything that comes before us if I don't have enough information, he is not okay with that. He's not saying he is against the proposed zoning, or for it, because he doesn't feel he has enough information. Before I was an Alderman, and I sat out there and spoke it seemed like nobody was listening. If you need anything email me or call me and I will try to get it. I want you to be informed and make us responsible. I don't want anyone to think we are not hearing you.

Alderman Lesh stated on the site plans we have, do they have to go before Planning and Zoning and then the Board, depending on what the developer does, or are there circumstances where this could just go to the zoning and administration officer. Attorney Jones stated it is possible, if a permitted use is presented, no further zoning approval would be needed. He continued that even subdividing into two

lots, would require Board approval after Planning & Zoning review. Alderman Lesh asked if it is subdivided can conditions be placed on that be binding? Attorney Jones stated they would be. Alderman Lesh continued and asked with a subdivision request, a site plan could come later. Attorney Jones stated there would be improvement plans, because utilities would need to be shown, access, public improvements, which presumably they will want the city to take over any streets that access the development, all these would come at the time of the subdivision request. Alderman Lesh asked if they decide to do something different with the road, that involves just their land is there any ordinances that would bring the city's authorization into play if they are building a private drive off into their development. Attorney Jones stated we would not allow a private drive into a subdivision. Alderman Lesh asked if anything connects to our streets, does the city get to weigh in on it. Attorney Jones agreed.

Alderman Cleeve stated when we tabled this last time, we didn't have an action plan. He would like to make a motion or do what needs done to get a traffic study going to find out what the impact will be and definitely look at water runoff. Traffic is a big issue. Attorney Jones stated a base line traffic study can be done to show what is there now, there would have to be assumptions to figure out what the impact would be. Alderman Cleeve stated without knowing what we have now how do we know. Alderman Nemeth stated a general truck study on the community is important. He asked if this has been started. Administrator Roth stated he has spoke with the consultant, they had intended to provide a scope, but they could not provide one in time. Alderman Nemeth asked if we needed to make a motion this evening to have that done, or will both studies come to a future meeting, meaning the truck and general traffic within the community and Candlewick and Hwy N. Administrator Roth stated specific board direction to staff is helpful. Evaluation of the truck traffic situation is something we should do and was talked about before this was proposed. The impact study is usually required of the developer. We could do it if we want to do it on our own behalf, but he wanted to hear from the developer before proceeding. Alderman Cleeve confirmed he was speaking of traffic impact based on what their going to do, not what is there now. Administrator Roth agreed, stating a generic development situation if the city wants to impose that on the developer, we can do that, this had not proceeded that far in his judgement for us to have that discussion. We could take models, and they will give a basic understanding of what that impact would be. If the desire is to understand the existing traffic situation absent any new development, we can do, although he is not sure of the purpose. Alderman Cleeve wanted to see capacity and what we will be able to handle. Administrator Roth stated he can pose that to the traffic engineer, we have never engaged for a study like that. Alderman Gendron asked if the current traffic count would be included in with the impact study? Administrator Roth thought it would be. If the Board wants to quantify existing conditions, we can do this. Alderman Cleeve stated in general he is concerned with the tractor trailers coming down Hwy N going through a subdivision when there is posted signs.

Motion made by Alderman Cleeve to have a current level traffic study done for tractor trailer traffic and employee traffic on those same streets. We need to know how they are getting to Industrial Drive no matter how it is; Candlewick, First, Fourth, etc. He is looking for a physical report. Mayor Filley asked if he was asking for the city to do it or have it contracted by an outside firm. Alderman Cleeve stated whatever is the most accurate to get it done. He did not want a developer doing it. **Motion was seconded by Alderman Lesh.** Mayor Filley asked for discussion. Alderman Eversmeyer asked about costs. Administrator Roth stated what was being discussed with the engineer earlier was in the range of \$ 15,000 to \$ 20,000. We did not get to a defined scope and fee. Alderman Lesh asked if the motion could be amended pending the costs. Administrator Roth stated the intent would be to

bring costs back to the board at the next meeting. Alderman Nemeth worried we were jumping ahead. All of these are very important whether this is improved or not. The truck routes need to be hammered out. We have no clue what is happening there. He worries we are wasting funds also, and wasn't sure we should be incurring the costs on a guess if this gets approved or not, even though it needs done. The budget is tight. His hope was when we tabled it that there was action from the developer of more of what their plan was. I have no clarity, no understanding and no visible action to prove by the developer that the he wants to do this. He wouldn't vote for a traffic study at the moment, but he would if something was going forward. Mayor Filley stated what's on the table is for a current traffic study, to be able to address the current truck traffic. Alderman Nemeth suggested amending the motion as he would be for finding a proper firm that could figure out the whole truck route, getting with the mapping services on google, and GPS forces the driver down the correct route. The citizens deserve us to solve that problem. Alderman Lesh questioned the status of the future road projects. Fourth Street is probably in late 2023/2024. First Street is about a year behind. Alderman Cleeve stated he would amend his motion to include the entire truck route. Administrator Roth stated the pricing he provided was for all the major truck areas, First and Osage, 4th, Lamar, Old Gray Summit, it is pretty comprehensive. Just for specific data on Candlewick and Hwy N that is less expensive. Alderman Cleeve did not mean the entire city. Even if this don't go through, something else will. Mayor Filley recommended amending his motion for a comprehensive traffic study for the city. This will address First & Osage. Alderman Cleeve agreed and thought that was also the truck route. He would amend his motion to reflect that. **Alderman Cleeve amended his motion for a comprehensive current traffic study performed including all the major truck routes, pending costs approval.** Alderman Lesh stated without the rezoning of the Alt parcel, what problem are we solving. Alderman Eversmeyer stated Candlewick is a problem and it was not meant to be a route to industrial. Lamar Parkway Bridge did not help this. It has become the major employee entrance. Hwy N is a collector road and so is Candlewick and Dailey Industrial Drive. If we think about this, reducing what we put on these roads, we have to look at any protentional payback to the government. Hwy N is a city street and we accepted money to make improvements on this street. Mayor Filley stated this study would give us insights to how big of a problem we have. Alderman Cleeve stated he asked Manors of Brush Creek to look at Lamar and Osage traffic. **Alderman Lesh seconded the motion. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Opposed: none. Abstain: none. Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.**

New Business

- a. **Resolution No. 2022-48 A Resolution to authorize an application for funding through the Federal Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) administered by the East-West Gateway Council of Governments.**

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Resolution No. 2022-48 by title only. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. **Motion made by Alderman Presley, seconded by Alderman Eversmeyer to approve Resolution No. 2022-48.** Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. Alderman Cleeve questioned if we receive authorization and we decide we don't have the money for our percentage, is there a negative if we don't follow all the way through. Can we just give the money back. Administrator Roth stated we can do that but he believes EWGW would look dimly on further applications if we did do this. There is no particular obligation, the risk is if we get through the engineering and at construction we pulled out. EWGW would have us repay the engineering costs. Alderman Cleeve stated he was just trying to understand the process. **A voice vote was taken with an**

affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.

- b. Resolution No. 2022-49 A Resolution authorizing and directing the Mayor to execute an Agreement with SC Engineering dba Cochran for professional services relating to Engineering Planning and Design of the contemplated Union Street ADA Sidewalks to Schools Project, Federal Transportation Alternatives Program.**

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Resolution No. 2022-49 by title only. **Motion made by Alderman Eversmeyer, seconded by Alderman Presley to approve Resolution No. 2022-49.** Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. Alderman Cleeve asked if this was authorizing engineering for the previous resolution. Administrator Roth agreed. **A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Opposed: none. Abstain: none. Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.**

- c. Resolution No. 2022-50 A Resolution authorizing and directing the Mayor to execute a Contract Agreement for asphalt repair and resurfacing of the Viaduct-Thornton Street Intersection in the city of Pacific.**

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Resolution No. 2022-50 by title only. **Motion made by Alderman Nemeth, seconded by Alderman Gendron to approve Resolution No. 2022-50 Mayor Filley asked for any further discussion.** Alderman Nemeth stated this was very exciting. This intersection is almost falling in on itself. We are getting big executed projects done this season and hopes it continues. The number of trucks that goes through here has just ruined it. The goal would be to work with MoDot to reconstruct the entire intersection. Alderman Eversmeyer stated MoDot was very upset when we did not do their plan. Alderman Lesh asked how much was in the CID. Administrator Roth stated about \$ 110,00. Alderman Lesh asked if this was subject to MoDot inspection. Administrator Roth stated he did not think so. Mayor Filley stated the intersection is city street. Alderman Lesh agreed the road needs it. This quote was changed from 5" of removal to 3" and the area has increased and the price has doubled. Administrator Roth stated the cores indicated there was sufficient base (asphalt he believes) to support the 3". The costs are related to doing this job at night, over two nights and the scope has expanded some to include all the asphalt and connect where MoDot left off. He was concerned about exclusions and was glad we had cores done. Alderman Nemeth asked if this included stripping. Administrator Roth stated it does not. Alderman Nemeth asked about the "44 West stickers" on the road, the painting on the pavement. Once this is done, will MoDot restripe Viaduct in parts? It would be nice to have the whole road painted at one time. Administrator Roth stated he would ask. Alderman Lesh stated he spoke to an asphalt contractor, with the heat and reopening could damage the installation, and maybe a better time of year would be in the fall. The quotes are good for 30 days and could work to our advantage, with oil in the asphalt and diesel fuel in trucks. Mayor Filley stated scheduling would still need to be done, and could be in September/October. **A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Opposed: none. Abstain: none. Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.**

- d. Resolution No. 2022-51 A Resolution authorizing and approving the purchase of a mosquito fogger for the Pacific Public Works Department.**

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Resolution No. 2022-51 by title only. **Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Eversmeyer to approve Resolution No. 2022-51.** Mayor Filley asked for any further discussion. Alderman Lesh stated he had a resident ask if

we had a problem with mosquitos and the effects on kids playing. Mayor Filley stated we do have a mosquito problem. PW Commissioner Brueggemann stated we generally run from 5 am to 7 am. The product is toxic to honeybees, which are generally not out at this time of day and is seasonal. We spray 1/3 of the town every day. He can send out the MSDS Sheets if they want. Alderman Presley stated he also had someone contact him and was concerned about the bees, so the sheets would be helpful. **A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Opposed: none. Abstain: none. Mayor Filley declared the motion carried**

Mayor's Report

MIRMA Award – Mayor Filley stated at a recent MIRMA conference, the City received a Certificate of Achievement for Most Improved Loss Prevention Score in 2021. Two cities out of 94 received this award. We previously were at a score of 93% and we moved up to 99% out of 100%. We improved by 6 points. She gave kudos to Kim Barfield, City Clerk, as well as our Police Department and PW Department, Chief and Robert who work diligently every year to ensure that our loss prevention is minimum. Thank you all.

City Administrator Report

Lamar Parkway sidewalk – Administrator Roth stated the Board was interested in obtaining a quote for a missing section of sidewalk on Lamar Parkway. The quote was received today and good until the end of the year. Upon further discussion, he wanted to talk to our crew and we also have a contract with Jokerst for Summit Park Paving, and we would like to get a quote from them. At this point we are looking at different options. We would like to get it done this season, and expect to bring information back.

Budget report – Administrator Roth stated this was a basic report. The final June 30th numbers are not ready yet, but we have preliminary. The reserves and balances are strong and no particular areas of weakness. Sales Tax is in good shape, and are strong. January thru July in 2022 we are up 14% from this same period last year. He continued the assessed valuation shows a fair increase. We expect to get these soon. At the next meeting there will be a public hearing on the tax rate and will set the rate. We would request two readings of the ordinance at the next meeting. Historically we have set to the tax rate ceiling which is set via a formula. As soon as we receive the numbers, we will share with the Board with a full report at the August 16th meeting. The auditors are scheduled for September.

Chief of Police

Chief Melies stated he passed out pictures. These are of homeless camps, one over by Pilot. This is the one with the map and the lot which belongs to SDK Investments. The other pictures, one is a camp by the Palisades in the woods and working with Conservation to remove the camp. The people that frequent these camps create a lot of calls for service. The other one is a van on a business lot. He is asking the Board today to authorize the city attorney to research and draft an ordinance similar to one in Perryville, MO, Ordinance No. 8.20.055 structures deemed unfit for human habitation. The reason he is asking for the research and adjustment is because he would like our ordinance to include vans, and vehicles to make the ordinance enforceable on first contact, with the campers or property owners if they are allowing these people to camp. This would hold the property owners responsible for this. This would help curtail this type of activity. They are compassionate, when we encounter these people, we

always offer social services, what core issues do they have, take them to shelters or find community resources for them. We have placed several people in drug rehabilitation, we are trying to help them before we do enforcement. These camps are unsanitary, and are having an impact on our calls for service because the numbers in the camps are growing. In the early morning hours, they are on the move, traveling by bicycle, carrying backpacks, etc. He has a collection of emails from aldermen to business owners where these people are bothering them, bathing in water spickets, etc. This is just not in our town, every city has these issues and they are trying to get in front of it. **Motion made by Alderman Nemeth, seconded by Alderman Presley to have the attorney look into drafting an ordinance similar to one in Perryville regarding homeless encampments, vehicles, etc. and working with the Chief. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Opposed: none. Abstain: none. Mayor Filley declared the motion carried**

The Police Department has one opening as a Police Officer and a couple of openings in Dispatch. They are trying to get the word out. This is on social media, and an ad is running.

Public Works Commissioner

Alderman Lesh asked about Manors of Brush Creek – they are finishing up the sidewalk and roadway. Is the sidewalk going to be moved or are they just connecting. Public Works Commissioner Brueggemann will look into this. Alderman Lesh continued that there are several places on the curbs where the curbing is broken from machinery, and rock debris at the intersection needs cleaned up. Also, now that stormwater drains are in, has the city inspected. PW Commissioner Brueggemann stated we have inspected the whole time and afterwards, same with water and sewer.

Community Development Director Myers

Director Myers stated he supplied his abbreviated report. Administrator Roth asked him to scale back his report as the routine tasks he spared them the detail.

City Attorney Report

Attorney Jones stated a number of new statutes were passed during the last legislative session that impacted municipalities. He is reviewing them for inconsistencies. He has identified sections of House Bill 1662, which among other things, prohibits certain regulations of home occupations. The city has a code regulating them, some of those provisions in the code are prohibited. The statute goes into effect August 28th. He will be bringing back at the next meeting a proposed bill that will bring the city's code into compliance with state law. There may be others, as he is still reviewing.

Collector Kelley – No report.

Operations Committee – Alderman Evermeyer stated we met on July 22nd and discussed storage and the maintenance facility. Administrator Roth and PW Commissioner Brueggemann are working on a preliminary plan to maybe develop in stages.

Administration Committee – Alderman Nemeth stated they will meet August 8th at 8am. This will include a full recap on Red Cedar, and voting on the chairperson of the committee. Mayor Filley will be out of town for that meeting.

Miscellaneous

a. Approve payment to Barker Phillips Jackson, Inc. for Travelers Insurance, Cyber liability in the amount of \$ 7,188.00.

Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Presley to approve payment to Travelers Insurance in the amount of \$ 7,188. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Opposed: none. Abstain: none. Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.

b. Approve the Special Events Permit, Bigfoot Open House, September 10, 2022.

Motion made by Alderman Presley, seconded by Alderman Eversmeyer approve the Special Events permit. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. Alderman Cleeve stated he noticed the application was received in June, and we are just receiving this. Is this a normal time frame. Mayor Filley stated there were questions after it was submitted that needed to be addressed before it came to the Board. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Opposed: none. Abstain: none. Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.

Reports of City officials

Alderman Nemeth – No report.

Alderman Cleeve – He did receive an answer from Mr. Roth regarding the West Osage CID, that is has been reduced in size. He asked if this CID would go away. Administrator Roth stated it can, it requires petitions from individual property owners. Alderman Cleeve asked if this is something that actually ends? Administrator Roth stated the CID terminates in 2028 by statue. Alderman Nemeth stated there are a couple benefits that we forget about. Now, Viaduct is going to have no money for more improvements, because that CID is gone. The east end CID does not exist anymore. He understands this is 1 cent, but he doesn't know that he would have ever voted to have it removed. Over time this allows for things to get done. Alderman Cleeve asked if we can figure out a way to get the packet sooner, he continues to feel rushed when he receives his packet. He quickly has to research everything, and is there a way to get the information sooner. He understands things happen, he just feels like he needs more time and wants to be prepared for the meetings. Alderman Gendron asked if after Planning & Zoning can that information be sent out to the board specifically. It would be nice if it was emailed to us. Mayor Filley stated we can see what can be done. Some of this stuff we don't know until the final hour. Let's discuss this more, with specific ideas.

Alderman Gendron – In regards to the mosquito spraying, the citizen that she receives most of the comments from lives at the end of Orleans Street and wishes the bug sprayer would come to the end of the street. It crosses on Elm now. Also, we talked about the traffic concerns, but she is concerned about the existing water issue the folks at Westlake have, and keep that in mind.

Alderman Presley – Stated he sat thru a presentation about the 2026 RT 66 Celebration. It was a comprehensive understanding of RT 66 and the development of it. It was brought to the Chamber to encourage us to prepare for this. The highlights were Red Cedar and he commented on how the City is fortunate to have this property and one of the view buildings fully intact from original conception. He

thanked Steve Myers and the past people that have been working on the project. As we move forward to 2026 this could be one piece that cause people to stop in town, where other areas they will be just driving through. Fowler Automotive is also an original piece on RT 66. They predict 200,000 will travel the Mother Road, and he thanked the city for being proactive. He understands there will be more meetings to come discussing this. Mayor Filley asked that as he learns of these meetings to please pass them on.

Alderman Eversmeyer – No report.

Alderman Lesh – Asked about the vacant house on Highland St. and a status update. Chief Melies stated this caught fire on August 10, 2017. It is off the problem property list and sent to Mr. Brune. The last time we looked at it the owner was working on clearing up the title, so the property could be sold. It is having some legal issues. Alderman Lesh asked when it moved off the problem property list? Chief stated the Problem Property List was not started until his administration so after being reviewed, it is not a code enforcement issue, it is a burnt building and needs the building commissioner's attention. Alderman Lesh stated it has been 5 years and is a hazard.

Manors of Brush Creek – the way the berm is on Old Gray Summit Road that is supposed to be a landscaping berm. It looks like the slope is final, and has this changed where there will not be a landscaping berm? The grading there is about 7' and not enough as per the plan. Administrator Roth stated the utilities were installed and the city inspected, we have not accepted any improvements. They are subject to the Board approval. At some point, McBride will petition the city for acceptance of the utilities, storm sewer, streets, everything. That is the time if they are not built to plan that we enforce the plan.

Bosse Drive – Seems like people like it.

Adjournment

There being no further business, motion made by Alderman Lesh, seconded by Alderman Cleeve to adjourn. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. The meeting adjourned at 8:46 p.m.

ATTEST:

Kimberly Barful
City Clerk

Deather Filley
Mayor Filley



