

SEPTEMBER 20, 2022 * RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CITY OF PACIFIC REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN 300 HOVEN PACIFIC, MISSOURI 63069

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Mayor Filley. The meeting was held at City Hall. The Public was able to attend in person and was streamed live on the City of Pacific You-Tube channel.

A roll call was taken with the following results:

Present at Roll Call:

Alderman Nemeth

Alderman Cleeve Alderman Presley Alderman Eversmeyer

Alderman Lesh

Also present:

Administrator Roth

Attorney Jones

Chief Melies arrived during prayer

Collector Kelley

Absent:

Alderman Gendron

Public Works Commissioner Brueggemann Director of Community Development Myers

Pledge of Allegiance

The Pledge of Allegiance was done.

Prayer

Father Burkemper offered payer this evening.

Approve Agenda

Mayor Filley asked for a motion to amend the agenda to include two items under Miscellaneous. This information was emailed to the board earlier. This is for a Gershenson Pay App and a Red Cedar change order. Motion made by Alderman Presley, seconded by Alderman Cleeve to approve as an amended version. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.

Approval of Minutes

A. Regular meeting on September 6, 2022

Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Nemeth to approve the minutes of the regular meeting on September 6, 2022. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. Mayor Filley stated in the minutes reflect the Homecoming Parade as October 2, but is really October 7th. Alderman Cleeve and Alderman Nemeth amended their motion to include this change. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Mayor Filley declared motion carried.

Public Participation

Mayor Filley stated there were several speaker cards. You will be given 5 minutes to speak on the topic you filled out the card for. As called forward, please state your name and address for the record.

Steve Nichols, 1910 Indian Trail, Pacific, MO. He stated his adjacent property is in the city limits. He wanted to speak about the new subdivision going in on Indian Trials, called Nottingham Ridge. This was approved in January, and the contractor is Mr. Gallagher. There is a plan for the roadwork to make it an official city road, with curb and gutter. To his understanding he wishes to petition the Aldermen to have this obligation removed. His statement and his neighbors are that we want the road to go in as planned, it being a minor subdivision and by city ordinance, the road is supposed to have curbs and gutters. They also wish a qualified engineered solution be done for stormwater runoff, which to their knowledge has not been done. The stormwater will adversely affect their property and run right down the current private drive which is an extension of Indian Trials. He is sure the city will do their job as far as an engineered solution as to what is on the road. The subdivision was allowed to go in, and the first two houses, with no stormwater retention or abatement. He understands there are these requirements for a minor subdivision by the city. Being 500' from the Meramec River and less than 300' from a dry tributary of the Meramec River he is sure there are requirements for the neighbors downstream. There needs to be a solution for the stormwater.

Scott Melies, 1705 Westlake Court, Pacific, MO, stated he was passing around his laptop to show an address at 313 E. Orleans. The person that owns this lot is asking for a CUP on Third St. tonight as first reading. One of his responsibilities as Chief is to manage the code enforcement in the city. The picture going around is another piece of property they own. Bordered on either side of the property are city lots. This picture is north of Liberty Field and of

the property the city acquired. This is in the flood buyout area and near our park, but this is an important part of the city. This property is not in compliance with city property maintenance codes, it is probably out of compliance with zoning and it has other outdoor storage kind of uses. What he is saying tonight is that before we give a CUP on a new piece of property, he would ask that we try to reduce his workload on their other pieces of property. He feels like he is chasing his own tail on code, but yet we keep doing things with people who aren't in compliance. There is a saying "if you keep doing the same thing over and over and you expect a different result it may or may not happen". The person that owns this piece of property keeps their business address in unincorporated county but they keep their trash in Pacific, and he don't think that is a good plan for Pacific. Please consider this before we pass for the first and second reading before, we get this piece of property cleaned up.

Colin Kennedy, 1716 Westlake Court, Pacific, MO stated he would like to start with a question. He is not sure who to address it to but it is in regards to the rezoning of the Alt Farm. One of the last meetings, Mr. Conway stated that no water can leave the property. Does this mean during construction or anytime going forward 30 years from now. If it does leave the property who is liable, is it Mr. Conway or the city. Mr. Conway (audience) stated the requirement is; no additional runoff can leave the property up and including, we can't increase the flow rate more than what is currently flowing off. It's any additional flow rate up and including the 100-year storm. Water is going to continue to runoff at the same rate it is currently but no additional runoff from improving the parcel can run off up and including the 100-year flood. He continued that during construction the basins will be done first, which will be unimproved. Mr. Kennedy asked who was responsible and stated there is obviously code to be enforced. He read Mr. Roth's report about rezoning, and it seems like the rezoning of this bill gets the ball rolling. His problem with approving the rezoning is the flood gates will open and things will move fast. Looking at Lamar Parkway and the construction, the traffic study was going to wait until Bosse Drive was put in, by the time Bosse Drive was put in they were pouring foundations. He doesn't get the point of that. That is what he is worried about, the same situation happening.

Scott Melies, 1705 Westlake Court, Pacific, MO stated the property in question is across the street from his house and behind the houses behind him. The runoff where the detention basin is would be on the north side of the property and feed into an existing creek and runoff that goes under the street next to his property and feeds two other small tributaries that flow towards Jefferson and then Brush Creek. That creek backs up during floods. He read Mr. Roth's comments and he basically got that the zoning needed to be passed so we can find out what the development will look like and start making decisions about what we do and don't want. He thought he made it clear in the past that development is good. He is for development and wants to see Pacific flourish. What he doesn't want to see is industrial development in an area where it seems more natural for residential development. He is asking that we don't rezone it and wait to see what other possibilities are. He shared with some of them a map that he put out that shaded some areas on the west side of town near Englehart and the west side of town off of Osage. It was roughly 400-600 acres that could make decent industrial area. As a city we need to decide where we want industrial development and retail development. He knows that we say we have a plan back from 2017 but things have changed. He would like to see how many residential areas we are planning and how many places we have for new homes and build as a family community. He moved here because of the family community and we have good level ground, nice parks and a nice community. He saw the big open fields, and saw they were agriculture and maybe naive to think they would remain open fields for another 75 years. He thought that area was going to be residential along Hwy N. He thinks it's a bad choice to put industrial there and a better choice to put something else there. We don't have to rush on this rezoning until we see other possibilities down the road.

Barbara Alt, 1951 Patricia Lane, Pacific, MO and is one of the owners of the property being asked to be rezoned. We have been told that the gate cannot be put back up on Candlewick and the city cannot ticket trucks driving on Candlewick because we really don't have a truck route at this time since Denton Road bridge is out. She continued that we have signs indicating that First Street to Jefferson Street to Denton Road is the truck route to the Industrial Park, so why do we say the truck route does not exist? The Denton Road bridge across Brush Creek is north of Jefferson Street. As she recalls, Jefferson Street was built to provide a way for trucks to get to the Industrial Parks without going over the Denton Road bridge. Is there some reason that does not still work? If not, why not put the gate back up on Candlewick and give the Candlewick residents some relief from the traffic? If we cannot ticket trucks driving on Candlewick because a truck route is not available, why should we ticket and criminalize homeless people who have no place to go? Why should we ticket and criminalize legal residents who allow the homeless to live and sleep on their property in tents, trailers, barns, etc. Where should the homeless people go? In many cities and countries, "temporary" shelters are normal for most people. She is sure there are some who are drug addicts, thieves, etc., but many are not. Why penalize them because of who they are? Why not allow legal owners of property to live in temporary housing while building their permanent homes? Can we please do something to help the homeless people?

Gary Meadows, 2010 Hwy N, Pacific, MO was here again to speak about the Alt rezoning. He passed out a map. He thinks this is the 6th meeting over 3 months to talk about the same issue. Several of you expressed that you would need more information to make an informed judgement, and he thought that was the smart move. You don't really have any hard data, but repeated assurances from Mr. Conway. The world is not a perfect place and who knows what will happen. There are hundreds of homes that could be impacted. In thinking about this one of the issues refers to the map in front of you. With Hwy N there will be increased stormwater damage between our property and the neighbor. Then it runs through creek, through the forested area up to the north and northeast. Mr. Conway is setting aside some piece of that greenery, but it doesn't do much of anything because if you clear the creek below with the water flow it's got nowhere to go. It will backflow or find another way north. That is a meaningless gesture. Last week Alderman Cleeve asked Mr. Conway about his plans in respect to the creek, he said he had no intent to disrupt the creek at this time. That is a savvy answer, and an answer he can't be held to. If circumstances change, he can come up with a different plan. There is a reason this area is forested and it's because it is too wet to do anything with. Nothing in the ordinance before you require him to protect that. The reality is it is forested for a reason, farmers don't waste land. The Hwy N Project will increase all of this water and as he has argued, the Board should require a real surface water drainage study to measure what is going on to have something to compare it to. He doesn't think Mr. Conway plans on doing that. Mr. Roth in his report, seems to suggest rezoning and figure it out later. If you rezone, the transaction takes place, and the next day they can start contouring the land and do what they will. Once the rezoning occurs it leaves the boards control. This needs to be brought to a close, and if they were serious about it, they would

have brought you real data and that has not occurred.

Anna Meadows, 2010 Hwy N, Pacific, MO stated her biggest thing is they have received no information about this project, and had to pull teeth from the developer to answer questions that don't have solid answers. If you think you have enough answers to base a decision off of then more power to you, but she asks to get all the information you need. She does not want to see her property under water and the rest of the residents don't either.

Thomas Love, 211 Candlewick, Pacific, MO and Bill No. 5150 is his issue. This has been going on and we have more questions than we have answers. He urges them to vote no if this bill if taken off the table.

Jan Jones, 201 Candlewick, Pacific, MO agrees with Tom. The studies need done, the road needs closed off, why pass it at this time.

Ryan Schweisguth, Cochran Engineering, 530A E. Independence, Union, MO stated he was here with Mr. Conway. We are the engineer working with him and are here to answer questions. Regarding the water study, we can only do so much with our site. We can't control what's off our site. We can control the water that we are generating. The city has standards and specifications for storm water design. If this gets approved, we can't just start developing, we have more approvals and plan reviews to go through and that is a drainage study. The design of the detention basins has to be to the city's standards. This includes a pre-development and post-development flow release rates. Post-development is a fully as built park, and the rates are compared. The increase of stormwater has to be detained in each detention basin, and we have to throttle back the release rate, and it cannot exceed what the existing release rate was. These basins shown are just preliminary in size until we get a survey so they may get bigger or smaller. The stormwater running off our site will not exceed what is there today.

Erick Goebel, 1688 Westlake Circle, Pacific, MO stated Pacific is growing at a fast pace. Please consider rezoning the Alt property to light industrial. You have the opportunity to help foster not only the needed growth but also help generate jobs in our community. The potential businesses will add tax revenues to the city, which benefit all residents. Light industrial will allow for small business owners, such as himself, to prosper within our own city. Mr. Meadows stated "who knows what is going to happen", well nobody; and we can study things to death but studies continually evolve. When shovels and machinery hit the dirt, everything will change. The studies are great, he agrees we should have knowledge going forward, but it's not written in stone. He also urged the council to beware of hidden agendas and personal reasons for not wanting these kinds of projects to be fostered in the community before you make your final decision.

Dan Conway, President of Conway Contracting, 3001 Spruce St., St. Louis, MO 63103, you have seen me here for 5-6 weeks and he is just summarizing. At first it was mentioned that we were trying to ram something through and I said we would go slow, let's take 5-6 weeks and that is what we have done here. We opened up questions to the Board and the residents, trying to be open and transparent. He believes it is a brilliant idea to buffer a heavy industrial park with a light industrial park. This will end up being a quieter Hwy N, and able to buffer that noise. We

have come up with a way for everyone to co-exist and everyone can work, live and play. He thinks he has been more than reasonable and fair and he agrees with their valid concerns. The 50' tree buffer is very fair, setting aside 2 ½ acres for a forested area, with a creek running through it and the green space. We have offered the solutions for truck traffic. He thought the gate being reinstalled makes sense, if zero trucks are what you want. The city has been better since we have come to town to try to do this and co-exist and be fair and reasonable. We have reviewed the stormwater concerns; it is already in the city's regulations that no additional flow rate can happen. It was mentioned before that 38 million gallons will run to the north, that is not true, it's about 600,000 gallons. We are trying to build bridges and work with you and believe this is a great opportunity. We are not done, we want to keep working forward.

Ray Gullet, 103 Metrocom Drive, Pacific, MO stated he wasn't going to speak, but since he was called out, he would like to see the picture that was passed around, that was about him. Alderman Lesh had the same question, wasn't that about Orleans St. Mr. Gullet stated that was a different property he owns, he thinks. Attorney Jones stated that was up to Chief Melies, it was his picture. He asked Chief if he was ever cited on the property. Mr. Gullet stated he has owned this property for 10 years and used it in this way, and no he has never been cited. Has anybody ever said you can't do that? No, and he's not sure why this got brought in now, but is he wrong. Mayor Filley believed that Chief was expressing his concerns as a citizen, on a piece of property. Mr. Gullet stated the property in question has no outdoor storage, that is a provision of the bill. So, why are we talking about this other property. The property were talking about has no outdoor storage, 30 x 40 building, indoor storage, that is it. The property he brought to your attention is totally different, he has owned it for 10 years, he guesses, and it's been that way for the better part of that time. He has never been cited, never been asked to change anything, nothing has ever been brought up, so he is not sure why it is being brought up now. Mayor Filley stated that was a conversation he needed to have with Chief Melies. Mr. Gullet stated if there is a problem then he needs to bring it to my attention.

New Bills

Bill 5158 An Ordinance providing for a waiver of the Sidewalk Requirement at 504-514 South Third Street. (1st reading)

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Bill No. 5158 for the first reading. Mayor Filley asked for a sponsor. Alderman Eversmeyer stated he would sponsor Bill No. 5158.

Bill No. 5159 An Ordinance authorizing a Conditional Use Permit for property located at 210 South Third Street, Franklin County Parcel ID 19-1-12.0-4-003-081.100, in the City of Pacific. (1st reading)

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Bill No. 5159 for the first reading. Mayor Filley asked for a sponsor. Alderman Eversmeyer stated he would sponsor Bill No. 5159.

Bill No. 5160 An Ordinance amending parking restrictions on Lamar Parkway. (1st reading)

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Bill No. 5160 for the first reading. Mayor Filley asked for a sponsor. Alderman Lesh stated he would sponsor Bill No. 5160.

Consideration of Bills Previously Introduced

Bill No. 5157 An Ordinance repealing parking restrictions on Union Street. (2nd reading) Sponsor: Presley.

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Bill No. 5157 by title only for the second reading. Motion made by Alderman Presley, seconded by Alderman Nemeth to approve Bill No. 5157. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. There being none, a roll call vote was taken with the following results: Ayes: Alderman Nemeth, Alderman Cleeve, Alderman Presley, Alderman Eversmeyer, Alderman Lesh. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Mayor Filley declared Bill No. 5157 passed 5-0 and becomes Ordinance No. 3329.

Unfinished Business

Bill No. 5150 An Ordinance approving a Zoning Change (Map Amendment) from NU Non-Urban to M-1 Light Industrial for two tracts of land totaling approximately 48 acres and being generally described as Lot 1, Alt Place, Franklin County Parcel ID 19-6-14.0-0-034-036.20 (8-acre tract) and Franklin County Parcel ID 19-6-14.0-0-099-035.100 (40-acre tract) being generally located at Industrial Drive, Pacific, Missouri. (2nd reading) Sponsor: Eversmeyer. Tabled 7-19-22, 8-2-22, 8-16-22.

Mayor Filley stated Bill No. 5150 is currently tabled. Motion made by Alderman Eversmeyer, seconded by Alderman Nemeth to remove this from the table. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. There being no discussion, a voice vote was taken with an affirmative result and Bill No. 5150 was removed from the table. Opposed: none. Abstain: none.

Consideration of Bills Previously introduced

Bill No. 5150 An Ordinance approving a Zoning Change (Map Amendment) from NU Non-Urban to M-1 Light Industrial for two tracts of land totaling approximately 48 acres and being generally described as Lot 1, Alt Place, Franklin County Parcel ID 19-6-14.0-0-034-036.20 (8-acre tract) and Franklin County Parcel ID 19-6-14.0-0-099-035.100 (40-acre tract) being generally located at Industrial Drive, Pacific, Missouri. (2nd reading) Sponsor: Eversmeyer. Tabled 7-19-22, 8-2-22, 8-16-22, 9-6-22.

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Bill No. 5150 by title only for the second reading. Motion made by Alderman Eversmeyer, seconded by Alderman Nemeth to approve Bill No. 5150. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. Alderman Nemeth stated he had a couple things: not a single person has come up and not made a valid point. There is a lot of open questions, valid concerns, outstanding items and we have ordinances to back us up and things done right. He also understands that maybe in the past they feel we haven't held to those ordinances. There were only two things that would happen to this land, either be homes or industrial in his opinion. Personally, he doesn't know if homes are better than the proposed

industrial. He has taken phone calls and conversations on both ends of the spectrum. He thought we needed to require some items that we can't do at the moment but we could require as we go through the process. Water is a huge concern for all of us, normally we have an independent water study to validate what the developer has proposed. He would propose, once we get to those stages, that we get two independent water studies to ensure that not only are we validating the fact that Mr. Conway and his group are giving and doing the right things, but we also have two independent folks to hold accountable if those things are incorrect. He also believes if this were to pass, and as we work through the process, we should ask Mr. Conway to participate in the correction of the water issues that currently exist within in the Industrial Park to ensure that not only are we doing the right thing, but we are ensuring we are going back and fixing the issues we have. We have a phenomenal opportunity on many fronts to correct a lot of things, and I know there is stuff we can do to ensure that any developer is held accountable. I will do my best to hold the developer accountable and he believes we have the right board to make sure they are held accountable. Alderman Cleeve stated regarding the water being an issue, he understands you can't have any additional runoff from the land, but one of the things the Meadows didn't say, but is a concern for him, from the Highway N expansion if the creek is blocked somehow that is not water runoff from the property, its blocking water from getting through. Realize that water never getting to that land is not runoff and he is still concerned. Alderman Eversmeyer agreed with the other aldermen. He doesn't believe they could block the creek. Alderman Cleeve stated if this gets approved, he would like to see how that won't happen. Alderman Eversmeyer stated the Candlewick issue is separate from this part. It is a separate issue. The gate is separate than the light industrial park. He continued that he thought the city would make sure the development was done correctly. He agreed with Alderman Nemeth that we have the right staff and board members to see this is put together correctly. Mayor Filley asked for any further discussion. There being none, a roll call vote was taken with the following results: Alderman Cleeve stated he wanted to preface his vote with he believed light industrial was the way for this property to go, he don't want to see a subdivision there, but when he ran for office he told people that he would go with what the people wanted that talked to him and that he would be a representative and if you don't agree with how he votes, then you need to talk to him, he is going with what he is hearing from people. Nays: Alderman Cleeve. Ayes: Alderman Presley, Alderman Eversmeyer, Alderman Lesh, Alderman Nemeth. Abstain: none. Mayor Filley stated Bill No. 5150 passed 4-1 and becomes Ordinance No. 3332.

Bill No. 5156 was tabled at the last meeting with a note to be sent to the Operations Committee, but have not met yet. Alderman Eversmeyer stated that was correct, we were going to meet this Friday but had to postpone it until September 30th. Mayor Filley stated it would remain on the table until Operations Committee provides feedback.

New Business

Resolution No. 2022-63 A Resolution declaring certain property of the City of Pacific as Surplus Property, and providing for the sale and disposal of said property.

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Resolution No. 2022-63 by title only. Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Eversmeyer to approve

Resolution No. 2022-63. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Opposed: none. Abstain: none. Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.

Mayor Report

Mayor Filley thanked Alderman Nemeth for filling in for her at the last meeting so she could spend extra vacation time with her family on the east coast. She also reminded everyone of the Rodeo at Liberty Field. This was Friday, September 30th and Saturday, October 1st. The gates open at 5 pm and rodeo starts at 7 pm. Tickets are available at various businesses in town.

City Administrator Report

Administrator Roth stated that Summit Park Drive was paved today. It seems like it turned out well. We don't have specific dates for Arbor Lane but it is in the works and also Thornton and Viaduct are in the pipeline.

Chief of Police Report

None

City Attorney Report

None

City Collector

Collector Kelley stated she had two items this evening. First the rodeo petty cash in the amount of \$ 2,000, will Mr. Roth approve that in house, or would you like Board approval for this. Either way she would like it to be on record, that a \$ 2,000 check be made out to the City Collector for petty cash for use to the gate keepers at the rodeo Friday and Saturday night. Attorney Jones stated he did not think it required a motion, and the city administrator can do that.

Bill No. 5158 the sidewalk requirement waive, RBT Renovations is the owner, one of the RBT's is her husband and she wanted it on record that she is affiliated with RBT Renovations.

Operations Committee Report

Alderman Eversmeyer stated they would be meeting on the 30th. We will talk about improvements to the maintenance area, the ordinance that is tabled, which is the structures unfit for human habitation, and also the water runoff issue for Indian Trail Dr. This meeting is at 1:00 p.m.

Administrative Committee Report

Alderman Nemeth stated there is not one scheduled at the moment.

Miscellaneous

a. Approve the list of bills.

Motion made by Alderman Nemeth, seconded by Alderman Presley to approve the list of bills. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Motion carried.

- b. Approve invoice from Cochran for Rt. 66 Marketplace Road in the amount of \$ 717.31 to be paid from the Osage CID. Motion made by Alderman Presley, seconded by Alderman Cleeve to approve. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Motion carried.
- c. Approve invoice from Cochran for W. Osage Sidewalk Project in the amount of \$ 2,022.25 to be paid from Osage CID. Motion made by Alderman Nemeth, seconded by Alderman Presley to approve. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Motion carried.
- d. Approve Red Cedar Change Order # 34, Tree Removal, in the amount of \$ 4,857.80.

 Motion made by Alderman Eversmeyer seconded by Alderman Nemeth to approve.

 Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Motion carried.
- e. Approve Red Cedar Change Order # 35 Signage and Future ATT Conduit, in the amount of \$ 4,659.18. Motion made by Alderman Nemeth, seconded by Alderman Presley to approve. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. Alderman Lesh stated the conduit in the invoice shows it's running power for a sign. Some of the discussion at the history meetings are talks about the sign. Have we already established we are putting a marque sign out in front or is that decision still waiting to be made. Alderman Nemeth stated there has not been a commitment for a digital sign out front, at the moment. Alderman Lesh continued running the conduit without knowing if we are going to have the sign seems early. Alderman Nemeth stated now is the time to do it if we are going to so we don't have to dig up a brand-new parking lot. He agreed with Alderman Lesh, but thought that now was the time so the asphalt don't have to be ruined. Alderman Lesh asked if the conduit included the cable to pull the copper or is it just the conduit. Administrator Roth stated it was his understanding that the cabling was included. Alderman Lesh asked if that would not be appropriate to do at this time and let's see if there will be a sign there. Administrator Roth stated he discussed this with the project manager, and the wire could be pulled later. Alderman Lesh asked how much the copper cable was. Administrator Roth did not know. Alderman Eversmeyer stated in general the committee has agreed that they get a sign that's where it will be put. Administrator Roth stated the sign hasn't been discussed at the board level. Alderman Nemeth stated the original intention of the sign was to take the old one and put it in front of the building. However; the sign is super old and not very nice looking. We still have the sign though and it could be put up. The one that was recommended is in the range of \$ 60,000 and he

don't believe at this moment it is appropriate. He thought we needed to see the real numbers of the project come in then make those calls. Prepping for future things, like car charging, we ran all the conduit for that but we didn't elect to be subsidized from Ameren. Putting in the utilities now seems like the right thing to do to him, and didn't want to tear up the parking lot, is why we would do it. To his understanding the Historical Society, architect, and Kelly, they do believe where they are putting this is where a future sign would go. In a year if that changes, we can't prevent that, but as of now, that's where they would like the sign to go. Alderman Lesh stated the copper is one of the main components and can be put in later. Without knowing the number on that it could be reduced to preserve the parking lot and still have the option there, if we don't put the sign there. Administrator Roth stated we can direct the contractor to put the conduit in and not pull the wire. He doesn't know what the reduced number would be. There is a meeting tomorrow that this can be discussed. Alderman Eversmeyer asked if we could approve for a maximum amount of the change order minus the cost of pulling the wire. Alderman Lesh agreed. Motion made by Alderman Nemeth to approve the change order minus the cost of the copper, seconded by Alderman Presley. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Whereupon, Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.

 f. Approve Red Cedar Change Order # 36, Credit Service Disconnect, in the amount of \$ 2,700.00 (deduct).

Motion made by Alderman Nemeth, seconded by Alderman Eversmeyer to approve Change Order # 36. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Whereupon, Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.

g. Approve Gershenson Pay App # 2 for Bosse Drive project in the amount of \$ 42,750.46 to be paid from the W. Osage CID Fund.

Motion made by Alderman Presley, seconded by Alderman Eversmeyer to approve Pay App # 2 in the amount of \$ 42,750.46. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Whereupon, Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.

h. Approve Red Cedar Change Order # 38 in the amount of \$ 9,210.00 for exhibit track lighting.

Motion made by Alderman Nemeth, seconded by Alderman Cleeve to approve Change Order # 38 in the amount of \$ 9,210.00. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Whereupon, Mayor Filley declared the motion caried. Administrator Roth stated we are getting close to the end of the project, and there is meeting tomorrow with the architect, project manager, contractor, city staff and his direction to the team is we have to get done. He apologized for the one change order as it was submitted late, but slipped through. At the October 4th meeting we will give an update on where the project stands. Kelly O'Malley is here tonight and he

asked her to be here in case there are any questions. Kelly is becoming more involved with the project and will be in charge of the museum. October is a busy time for us as we get things together. The goal is to close out the project by the end of October if not sooner. Alderman Eversmeyer asked for a budget in the report also. Administrator Roth stated it may not be a complete package by October 4th but at least by the 18th. The parking lot is torn up and site work proceeding.

Reports of City Officials

Alderman Nemeth – there was an Historical Society meeting last week. One of the concerns is at the last alderman meeting we had a discussion about the die cut Rt 66 sign. The board didn't take any action. The committee is concerned and believed at the time that was the right sign for that. The current way is it is supposed to be painted Rt 66 and the original intent with the resolution last week was to upgrade it to a laser sign. The committee believes the upgrade is needed. The architect believes because the monument is where the BBQ shack used to be. He has learned the historical value the sign should be hand painted as that is the history of that particular area. He hopes the committee discusses it again and then if they still want the upgrade Kelly will be bringing to the board all the reasons why they want the upgraded version. He was not committed either way, but the historical value is pretty cool. He understands why the standoff, back lit one would be wanted, but it doesn't really preserve the history. Jeff Titter and Dennis Oliver are going to discuss with the committee.

Alderman Cleeve – the Park Board has not met. Regarding the Hawthorne Pond and speaking with Robert Brueggemann, probably the best plan of action at this point is to wait until next year to do anything. Right now, our hope is that it can be drained and dug out, then refilled.

Alderman Presley – no report.

Alderman Eversmeyer – no report.

Alderman Lesh – no report.

Mayor Filley stated the Police Department sends out updates in regards to storms, weather, etc. One went out today in regards to a missing teen. That teen has been found and she thanked the Police Department and the Emergency Services. If you are not signed up to get these alerts she would recommend it, it's a great way to stay informed.

Adjournment

There being no further business, motion made by Alderman Nemeth, seconded by Alderman Cleeve to adjourn. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. The meeting adjourned at 8:16 p.m.

ATTEST:

Remberle Barfull

City Clerk

MISSOURI